

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,170	12/13/2006	Young-Woo Kim	4220-127 US	1116	
26817 MATHEWS S	7590 01/31/201 SHEPHERD, MCKAY,	EXA	EXAMINER		
29 THANET ROAD, SUITE 201			LEUBECKER, JOHN P		
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3779		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/31/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,170	KIM, YOUNG-WOO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John P. Leubecker	3779		

	John P. Leubecker	3779				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavition (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the soft orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared to the compared	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or	, ,					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ad.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ✓ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/John P. Leubecker/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3779					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to claim 1 do not materially change the claims and thus would be rejected on the same grounds of rejection set forth in the Final Rejection. Regarding Applicants contention that Wilk teaches manual maipluation and thus does not teach an electrically driven actuator for driving the tubes apart, the Examiner notes that Applicant falls to provide any evidence of this in Wilk. Applicant also falls to address the specific citations of Wilk pointed out by the Examiner in the rejection taugests that the actuator is driven by a computer and thus by electric signals. It would not be reasonable to assume a manually controllable element as part of a remote robotic system. Furthermore, the recitations directed at the device providing stereoscopic vision are may Wilk as the Wilk device is particularly intended for such use (co.1.10, lines 6-10 and 20-63). Contrary to Applicant's belief, the portion of Wilk click (coi.3, lines 35-49) does not suggest that Wilk only produces 2D Images.